

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,186		07/08/2003	Randy McKay	85229-102 ADB	7098
23529	7590 05/03/2006		EXAMINER		
ADE & CO		- -	BHAT, NINA		
P.O. BOX 28006 1795 HENDERSON HIGHWAY WINNIPEG, MB R2G1P0				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA				1764	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				DATE MAILED: 05/03/2006	.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/614,186	MCKAY ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		N. Bhat	1764
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
WHI - Exte afte - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. FONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	·		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 J	anuary 2006.	
<i>'</i> —	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.	
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters	, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under A		
Disposit	ion of Claims		
	Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-11,13-18 and 21</u> is/are pending	in the application	· ·
7/63	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	• •	
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Will Holli concluciation.	
· · —	Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-11,13-18 and 21</u> is/are rejected	l.	
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		,
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
	The specification is objected to by the Examine		
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 July 2003</u> is/are: a)		to by the Evaminer
لحارها	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • •	•
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	·	• •
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Appli	cation No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been rec	eived in this National Stage
	application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	eived.
•			
Attachmer	nt(s)		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sumr	
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	nui i utorit i ppinoduori (i 10-102)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/614,186

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

- 1. Applicant's arguments have been fully and carefully considered. Applicant's amendments have defined over the Beida et al. reference however, upon updating the search new art has been found which will be applied necessitated by amendment.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 6-11, 13-18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rozenshtein et al. 5,851,498 in combination with Sargeant et al. 4,813,396.

Rozenshtein et al. teach a catalytic heater in combination with a modular boiler. The resulting infrared radiation form the distal side of the catalytic heater is directed to an objected to be heated which in this case is a fluid chamber of a boiler.[Note Claim 1 and Claim 9]

Art Unit: 1764

However, Rozenshtein et al. does not teach a surge tank coupled to the inlet header of the heat exchanger.

Sargeant et al. teach a boiler or water heater, which is in combination with a combustion heater. The combustion heater provides heat for heating the water within the water heater. The heat exchanger (170 has a water inlet (87) and a water outlet (88). The inlet and outlets are located at the same end at the top end and top plate structure. The structure of the fluid path through the heat exchanger and arrangement wherein water conducted through the heat exchanger encompassing a cylindrical radiant surface burner is similar in function as to how the heat exchanger exchanges heat with the catalytic radiating surface. Sargeant et al. further teaches a heater having a heat radiating surface and thermostatic control and is capable of being retrofit into heaters having pre-existing thermostatic control. Sargeant teaches thermostatic control of the combustion heater, which is supported in communication with the heat exchanger fluid, located within the headers of the heat exchanger.

It would have been obvious from the combined teaches of Rozenshtein et al. who teaches a catalytic heater in combination with a boiler to include the boiler/heat exchanger as claimed of Sargeant et al. In Sargeant, the heat exchanger is used with a radiative combustion heater, to replace the radiative combustion heater with a catalytic heater such as taught in Rozenshtein et al. fairly teaches and suggests applicant's invention and to substitute the combustion heater to a catalytic heater to be used with a boiler or water heater such has been taught and suggested by both Rozenshtein et al. and Sargeant et al. thereby permitting the substitution of heaters.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,186

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hirano et al. teach a method of accelerating radiative transfer. Brulfert et al.'602 and 303 teach a boiler or steam generator, which includes a catalytic combustor for hydrocarbons. Ledjeff et al. teach a hot water heater. Maenishi et al. teach a catalyt combustion apparatus. Kendall et al.'829 and '762 teach catalytic combustion heaters which includes a radiant section of tube coils for heating a process fluid. Mead et al. teach a compact steam generator and system.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to N. Bhat whose telephone number is 571-272-1397. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30AM-6:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,186

Art Unit: 1764

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

N. Bhat Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764